

216427
216428

Docket Number - 2009 - 25 - E

Sandi Perry

v.

SCE + G

O Duke
SA-ORES

and

Docket Number - 2008 - 415 - C

Sandi Perry

v.

AT & T

RECEIVED

APR 21 2009

PSC SC
DOCKETING DEPT.

I am unable to prepare and send 25 copies of documents you request because I can not afford the expense. I receive the Lifeline credit on my phone bill because of this. I respectfully ask for a waiver of this requirement.

Similarly, I respectfully request that the hearings be held in Charleston on the utilities' premises there. The expense of driving to Columbia almost approaches the amount of the figures at issue. Also, it is doubtful that my car, in its condition, will make the trip to Columbia.

As to direct testimony, I have stated my case sufficiently in the complaints. Look therein for my testimony. Thus, I move for summary judgment - judgment on the pleadings.

Sandi Perry v. AT&T only

Also, I mentioned in the pleadings that I will show how the phone company makes up charges - in relation to a phone call ~~that~~ to New York last June 11 that I did not make that I was charged for. I will provide proof via a document from a hospital I was in at the time but said document can not be used as it is and must be revised. I respectfully request an extension in order for the hospital to revise their document. It should be ready within the month.

Also, on a number of occasions I have called AT&T SC Customer Complaint Machine and an answering machine took my call. Although I called a number of times, my calls

were never returned. Had my calls been answered, it is possible I would not have had to go to the PSC. I have been repeatedly denied this remedy & I must protest.

Again let me note the harassment I have suffered at the hands of AT&T. There was a period of months when I was called daily up to 3 times a day and also persons knocked on my door. The ORS was unable to stop this although I complained vehemently. Finally I threatened to call the police and it stopped. The PSC should forbid such practices.

Sandi Perry v. SCE&G only

I have opened a piece of junk mail that has sat on my dresser for a long time. It does not say it is from SCE&G. It does not say it is a legal document. It does not say it is from a lawyer. It was not ~~mailed~~ mailed return receipt requested - or even certified. I thought it was a piece of junk. It may be

4

"Fraudulent. Inside it alleges that it
is from ^{one} Ched Burgess and SCE&G.
Please tell me whether it is improper
or legitimate. I contest the service.
Along these lines, I can not serve SCE&G
as I do not know who to mail to.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandi Perry

2274 Ashley River Road

-#117

Charleston, SC 29414

843-852-2204

April 20, 2009